Abstract: The case of a fatal, unprovoked shark attack is reported and analyzed. The incident took place on the 30th of September 2007, in the lagoon of Luengoni Bay, Lifou Island (Loyalty Islands, New Caledonia). A young French woman who was snorkeling was severely bitten on the right thigh and died of hemorrhage. An analysis based in particular on the size and color of the shark, the characteristics of the wounds, and the behavior of the shark before and after the bite suggests that the aggressor was a great white shark, *Carcharodon carcharias*.
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According to the International Shark Attack File (cf. ISAF web site: http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Sharks/ISAF/ISAF.htm), the number of negative interactions between humans and sharks in the world totaled 112 in 2007, composed of 71 unprovoked attacks and 41 incidents (provoked attacks, air-sea disasters, boat bites, etc). For Oceania and Pacific Islands, the records since the 1950s are respectively 125 cases with 51 being fatal, among which New Caledonia accounted for 10 attacks with 4 fatal cases. Major problems in the investigation of shark attack cases are often the identification of the shark species involved and the determination of the motivation of the attack. Both questions have long been investigated by many authors.1–10 We report and analyze the only fatal attack in 2007, referred under the N 4299 in the ISAF database, which was an unprovoked shark attack on the 30th of September 2007 on a young French woman who was snorkeling in the lagoon of Luengoni Bay, Lifou Island (Loyalty Islands, New Caledonia).

**METHODS**

This report is based on the analysis of the following documents: (i) the police notes (gendarmerie nationale) made on the September 30, 2007, including the interrogation of the witness (L.L.), a friend of the victim who was snorkeling with her; (ii) the medical death certificate prepared by Dr. Antoine Roth, made a basic examination of the victim after the attack, and took charge of the victim. The local medical doctor, Dr. S.B. who was heading toward the islet of Conforama, about 100 m from the shore (Fig. 2). They were swimming one in front of each other, separated by approximately 10 m. S.B. was about 30 m from the islet when a large dark "shark" (described as a large dark shadow with a dorsal fin) came between them. According to L.L., they both saw the shark at the same time, before it jumped out of the water to turn and target S.B. and bit her on the right thigh. L.L. heard her friend shouting and saw her flapping the water with her fins. She panicked and rushed out of the water to get help from people of the local village. A fisherman used his small boat to get the body of the victim, which was floating at a short distance in the lagoon) turbid water (less than 4-m visibility) due to organic material and sand suspended by strong Southeast winds (15–20 knots). The tide was high at 8:41 AM with a coefficient of 1.3 m. The water total depth was 6 m. The southern part is bordered by a sandy beach flanked by mangrove trees; the northern part is a barrier reef of 50- to 100-m width, interrupted by 2 small passes; the third and largest being the north-western one, about 100-m wide and 12-m deep. The other 2 passes have around 8 m depth (Fig. 1).

To identify the shark species involved in the attack, we compared (from photographs) the wounds made by the bite to previous cases reported in the literature.11–23 Several studies concerning bites on marine mammals were also used.24–27 Also, prints with dried jaws of tiger sharks and great white sharks (from collections) were done on a soft material (a green foam), to compare the puncture marks made by the teeth of both species.

**RESULTS**

Report of the Case

On the morning of the 30th of September 2007, a 23-year-old nurse (S.B.) started snorkeling in the lagoon of Luengoni Bay, located on the South-East of Lifou island (Loyalty Islands, New Caledonia) (Fig. 1). She was accompanied by a female friend (L.L.). They were alone on the beach in the early morning (around 8:30 AM). S.B. entered the water first, her friend L.L. stayed on the beach to adjust her contact lenses and then entered in the water to follow S.B. who was heading toward the islet of Conforama, about 100 m from the shore (Fig. 2). They were swimming one in front of each other, separated by approximately 10 m. S.B. was about 30 m from the islet when a large dark “shark” (described as a large dark shadow with a dorsal fin) came between them. According to L.L., they both saw the shark at the same time, before it jumped out of the water to turn and target S.B. and bit her on the right thigh. L.L. heard her friend shouting and saw her flapping the water with her fins. She panicked and rushed out of the water to get help from people of the local village. A fisherman used his small boat to get the body of the victim, which was floating at a short distance in northwest of Conforama (Fig. 2). It took about 20 minutes for the body of the victim to be retrieved after the attack. When the fisherman beached his boat, the police were already on the beach and took charge of the victim. The local medical doctor, Dr. Antoine Roth, made a basic examination of the victim after transporting the body to the medical center and issued the death certificate. Because the cause of the death was obvious (a shark attack), no autopsy was required by the police.

The Luengoni Bay is a lagoon about 2-km long and 800-m wide, with half of the lagoon made of a white sandy bottom (average depth of 2 m) and the other half composed of slab and sand (average depth of 6 m). The southern part is bordered by a sandy beach flanked by mangrove trees; the northern part is a barrier reef of 50- to 100-m width, interrupted by 2 small passes; the third and largest being the north-western one, about 100-m wide and 12-m deep. The other 2 passes have around 8 m depth (Fig. 1).

The tide was high at 8:41 AM with a coefficient of 1.3 m. The attack took place in about 2.5-m depth, in unusually (for a tropical lagoon) turbid water (less than 4-m visibility) due to organic material and sand suspended by strong Southeast winds (15–20 knots). The victim was wearing a 2-piece swimsuit decorated by purple flowers on a white background.
Description of the Wound

A single large bite was made to the right thigh, from the hip to the knee, with a maximum length of about 40 cm (Fig. 3). The thigh was cut deeply, with the femur bone broken at the level of the hip. The muscular mass was sectioned but was still attached to the femur bone whose distal part was still articulated to the knee. Despite the large bite, almost no tissue was removed as shown by the repositioning of the scalloped muscular mass on the leg (Fig. 4); the inner and outer margins on the wound on the inner part of the thigh fit together well although they are somewhat swollen.

The margin of the wound on the inner thigh is broadly curved and presents clear cut sections (made by large flat teeth) separated by small ragged pieces of skin/flesh (indicating that the teeth did not form a continuous cutting edge but instead were separated by more or less wide spaces). The margin of the wound on the outer part of the thigh is also broadly curved and shows similar aligned flat sections separated by small rags of skin/flesh, but somewhat shredded.

The blood vessels were divided and according to the medical certificate, the victim died of exsanguination and hypovolemic shock. Apart from this large bite on the right thigh, no other wounds were identified on the body.

Analysis

Identification of the Shark

Potential shark species likely to make such large bites are very few in the area; potential candidates are the tiger shark and the great white shark. Examination of the wound made by the bite, on the series of available photographs, shows:
A large bite of about 40-cm width obviously made by a large shark; Clean cut sections of a few centimeter aligned in a board curve on both sides of the bite indicating a series of flat teeth in both jaws; Small tatters of skin and flesh between clear-cut punctures indicating the presence of spaces between the teeth of the shark; The femur broken at the level of the hips indicating the powerful pressure of the bite, strong enough to break this thick bone; The thigh was largely scalloped, but still attached at the level of the knee, indicating that the shark did not intend to swallow it and released its bite; no flesh was removed as shown by the repositioning of the thigh (Fig. 4).

Interview of the witness indicates the following:
A large dark shark coming from the lagoon and passing between the victim and the witness; and
A shark jumping out of the water to reach the victim.

These anatomic and behavioral elements suggest to us that a great white shark was responsible for this attack. The teeth of the tiger shark form a continuous cutting edge, whereas those of the great white are spaced and make a series of more or less spaced punctures. Also, a tiger shark would not have released its mouth contents but would have swallowed it instead; great whites are known to bite and spit out either as a strategy of attack to weaken the prey or just as a “tasting bite.” Viewed from above, a great white shark appears darker than a tiger shark, fitting with the description of the witness. Great white sharks are also known to jump out of the water, to target prey from the surface; instead, no tiger shark were ever observed with such behavior.

Considering the shape and arrangement of functional teeth on great white shark jaws, we can conclude that the sections of the wound on the inner thigh of the victim correspond to the bite of the upper jaw teeth, and those on the outer part of the thigh to the impact of the lower jaw teeth. Thus we infer that the victim faced the shark before the attack; this hypothesis is consistent with her friend’s statement that she and the victim saw the shark at the same time and that the victim struck the water with her fins, probably as an instinctive self-protection movement. Thus the shark was coming from the northwest, ie, where the main pass is through the barrier reef. The outer slope of the barrier is steep, and the open ocean is relatively close from the shore (Fig. 1). Great white sharks are mainly present in deep cooler waters around New Caledonia but regularly some individuals show up in shallow warmer waters of the lagoons (cf. Discussion).

Size of the Shark
Table 1 provides regressions for the great white shark and the tiger shark between the total length (TL) of the shark and the width of the mouth/jaw or that of the bite, from the published data. Considering the approximate size of the bite (about 40 cm), the TL of the shark would range between 372 and 430 cm TL for a great white shark (for a similar bite width, a tiger shark would have between 267 and 399 cm TL). The sex of the shark is unknown; if it was a male, it would be an adult animal as males of great white sharks mature between 350 and 410 cm TL; if it was a female, it would be a late adolescent or a very young adult because females of great whites mature between 400 and 500 cm TL.
TABLE 1. Records of Large Specimens of Tiger Sharks and Great White Sharks in New Caledonia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bite Width (cm)</th>
<th>Calculated TL (cm)</th>
<th>Calculated TL (cm)</th>
<th>Calculated TL (cm)</th>
<th>Calculated TL (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>380.0</td>
<td>324.0–349.0</td>
<td>284.6–313.7</td>
<td>225.1–358.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>390.1</td>
<td>337.7–358.7</td>
<td>293.2–322.2</td>
<td>233.4–366.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>400.2</td>
<td>343.3–368.3</td>
<td>301.7–330.8</td>
<td>241.8–374.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>410.4</td>
<td>352.9–377.9</td>
<td>310.3–339.3</td>
<td>250.1–383.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>420.5</td>
<td>362.5–387.5</td>
<td>318.8–347.9</td>
<td>258.4–391.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>430.7</td>
<td>372.1–397.1</td>
<td>327.4–356.4</td>
<td>266.8–399.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The local newspaper Les Nouvelles caledoniennes.
TL indicates total length.

DISCUSSION

Occurrence of the Great White Shark in New Caledonia

Although the great white shark is most commonly found under temperate latitudes, it has been previously recorded from New Caledonia.28 Records of large sharks are often published in the local newspaper “Les Nouvelles caledoniennes.” Table 2 compiles the records of large great white sharks and tiger shark observed or caught in New Caledonian waters. Since 1971, 12 articles report on observations or capture of several great white sharks, ranging from 2.6 m to about 7 m TL and found between 18 and 420 m depth. The number of articles reporting on the capture of tiger sharks is greater (20 articles), specimens ranging from 2- to 6-m TL and most were caught near passes of the reef or around islets. In November 1996, a group of 8 scuba divers encountered a 3.5-m great white shark at 14-m depth in the pass of Boulari (SE coast of New Caledonia). A similar encounter happened on the September 8, 2007, between a group of 5 scuba divers and a 5-m great white shark at 18-m depth in the pass of Dumba (SE coast of New Caledonia). In both cases, the shark just came to investigate the divers and went by. Also in 2007, 2 great white sharks were observed feeding on the carcass of a pygmy sperm whale south of New Caledonia (E.C., unpublished data).

Great white sharks have also been observed off Lifou. In 1996, the coauthor (B.S.) interviewed a fisherman of Luengoni village who reported observations of great white sharks outside the barrier reef when he was free-diving for lobsters. During the exploratory cruise “Chondrical” in January 2002, a large catshark (Pseudotriakis microdon) was caught on a long-line set in the North of Lifou by about 600-m depth, it had a large bite that was attributed to a 3.5-m great white shark (B.S. unpublished data). In 1999, a male spearfisher from Ouvea (Loyalties Islands) was bitten on the back, the wound had 30-cm width; it was presumed a great white shark had made this attack (B.S. unpublished data).

On the 28th of September 2007, ie, 2 days before the attack in Luengoni Bay, the crew of the ferry “Bétics” observed several large sharks feeding on the floating carcass of a whale a few miles off islet Vauvillier (southeast off Lifou), ie, about 20 km from Luengoni Bay. This aggregation may have played a role in the presence of the great white in the Luengoni lagoon.

Shark Attacks in New Caledonia

An historical review of shark/human interactions in New Caledonia was made by B.S. (unpublished data). It includes 37 cases between 1950 and May 2008, of which 6 happened in the Loyalties Islands (Ouvea, Lifou, and Maré). In 1983, a teenager had his calf bitten at We (Lifou island). In 1999, a spearfisher from Ouvea was severely bitten on the back, and a great white shark was suspected. In 2002, a spearfisher disappeared off the east coast of Lifou; only parts of the equipment were subsequently found. In 2005, a spearfisher from Maré was bitten on the arm. In 2007, a spearfisher from Ouvea was apparently bitten by a shark. If the number of records is greater around the main island of New Caledonia (Grande Terre), a few cases are also reported from the Loyalties Islands with 3 from Lifou. In 2 cases, the great white was presumed to be the aggressor.

Motivation of the Attack

This attack enters in the category of the unprovoked attacks as defined by ISAF, ie, “incidents where an attack on a live human by a shark occurs in its natural habitat without human provocation of the shark.” In the present case, the victim who was snorkeling did not display any evident stimulus likely to have provoked the attack.

Great white sharks feed mainly on fishes, and marine mammals; the young having a more piscivorous diet and the adults preferring marine mammals,33,35 and they have a full set of sensory organs to detect their prey. Great white sharks are great swimmers; however, they have developed various tactics to catch prey able to swim faster and longer than themselves. The bite and spit hypothesis36,37 has been proposed to explain cases of predation on marine mammals in which the shark inflicts a powerful bite to the prey to immobilize it or to kill it. Also it could be a tactic to avoid injury from large prey. In the same way, the exsanguination predation has been proposed in which the prey is killed by bleeding it to death.

In interactions with humans, similar bites are often observed by great white sharks.7,38 The shark made a more or less strong bite without completing it. These inhibited bites on humans may have several motivations.72 When the shark comes back after the first strike to feed on the victim, this could be interpreted as a true feeding predation. When the shark does not come back after the first strike, this could be interpreted as a tasting bite: the prey is bitten to taste its edibility. This kind of bite has also been interpreted as “mistaken identity” predation, but great white sharks are highly selective visual predators, so such mistakes can be evoked only when the water is turbid.

In this case, there was a single incomplete bite, with no tissue removed. During the 20 minutes that the victim stayed in the water before being retrieved by the fisherman, the shark had sufficient time to return and bite the victim again; this did not happen. This suggests that the shark was investigating, and we can conclude that it was
most probably an exploratory bite, for the shark to taste a potential prey. As in many other reported cases, the shark did not feed on the victim, as humans are not a usual prey of great white sharks.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size in m (Gender)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Les Nouvelles Caledoniennes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Pass on eastern coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Sept</td>
<td>Pass of St Vincent</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>Longliner Yamin II</td>
<td>4 September 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>Grand Coude, southern lagoon</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Longliner Thalassa</td>
<td>2 February 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 March</td>
<td>50 miles off ile des Pins</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Longliner Yamin II</td>
<td>25 March 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Ua islet, southern lagoon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporters of BBC</td>
<td>2 October 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>Canal Woodin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>From a recreational boat</td>
<td>18 November 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Near Walpole</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Longliner Kanja</td>
<td>6 January 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>Southern New Caledonia</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Longliner Thalassa</td>
<td>2 July 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>La Sarcelle</td>
<td>5 ± a small one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On a carcass of a whale</td>
<td>3 January 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>Southern New Caledonia</td>
<td>4.5 &amp; 4.1</td>
<td>150–300</td>
<td></td>
<td>Longliner Thalassa</td>
<td>15 November 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Bay of Prony</td>
<td>Several large</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On a carcass of a whale</td>
<td>25–30 January 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Sept</td>
<td>Ugo islet, southern lagoon</td>
<td>3.5 (M)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2 (F)</td>
<td>Divers in a cage</td>
<td>11 September 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Aug</td>
<td>Pass of Dumbéa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Group of 5 scuba-divers</td>
<td>11 September 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Nov</td>
<td>Mba Islet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>13 November 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>From a recreational boat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2.** Estimated Total Length (TL) From Mouth Width or Bite Width for the Great White Shark and the Tiger Shark Using Regressions From Literature
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